

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MW

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/010,976	12/06/2001	Gregory Jay Geilow	ROC9-2001-0250-US1	5610
75	590 11/26/2003		EXAM	INER
LAURENCE R. LETSON			HAMMOND, E	BRIGGITTE R
P.O. BOX 9105 LEXINGTON,		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DDAM (OTOT),			2833	•

DATE MAILED: 11/26/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/010,976 Applicant(s)

Gregory et al.

Examiner

Briggitte R. Hammond

Art Unit 2833



		L HARRI LINER REPORT LINER LINER LINER REPORT LINER REPORT LINER REPORT LINER LINE		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
	for Reply			
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In raide of this communication.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
- If the	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th			
- Failure	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause th	application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
-	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	is communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any		
Status				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 24, 20	003		
2a) 💢	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This acti	on is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4	fa) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7-9</u>	is/are rejected.		
7) 💢	Claim(s) 5 and 6	is/are objected to.		
8) 💢	Claims <u>10-17</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	ition Papers			
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examine			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) [	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			
	1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.		
	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	ocuments have been received in this National Stage		
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the			
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
a)[	$ \square $ The translation of the foreign language provisiona	application has been received.		
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachm	• •			
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
3) L In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2833

#### **DETAILED ACTION III**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 2. Claims 1,2 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Good et al. Good et al discloses a connecting device for connecting a connector by mating to an electronic card 52, the device comprising a card support 116 with locating pins 124, a frame 54, a movable member 50 carrying a spring 106 and an engaging member 94, said movable member further comprising a clamp 88,72 and an actuation assembly 78,74,72.

Regarding claim 2, the card support of Good et al. comprises electrical conductors 90 associated with the mating connector 58.

Regarding claim 7, Good et al. disclose the clamp member having a base member 72 and a holding member 102, wherein said holding member is restrained by fasteners 74.

Art Unit: 2833

. . .

Regarding claim 8, Good et al. disclose the engaging member having a surface (at 94) for engagement with a surface of the card 52 and forcing the card toward the support 116.

Regarding claim 9, the spring of Good et al. exerts a force through the engaging member 94 in excess of the force exerted on the connector.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goode et al. in view of Kaiser et al. Goode et al. do not disclose the actuator comprising a toggle.

  However, Kaiser et al disclose an actuator having an arm that acts as a toggle ( see cot. 5, lines 18-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to modify the connecting/disconnecting device of Good et al by having the actuator further comprise a toggle assembly to allow the forces to be exerted on the arm as taught by Kaiser et al.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 5 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2833

6. Claims 10-17 are allowed.

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed September 24, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the frame 54 of Good et al. is not "fixedly disposed relative to the card support card", the examiner draws applicant's attention to fig. 2 which clearly shows the frame being "fixedly disposed *relative* to the card support card". In response to applicant's argument that "Good et al. does not carry a biasing spring which biases the engaging member". The examiner disagrees. Good et al. disclose the movable member 50 "carrying" biasing spring 106 which biases the engaging member 94 towards the card support (see col. 6, lines 1-10 and 40-43).

### Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Art Unit: 2833

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner

should be directed to Briggitte R. Hammond whose telephone number is (703) 305-0032.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 7:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. The

examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Paula A. Bradley, can be reached on (703) 308-2319. Papers may be faxed directly to

Group 2833 at (703) 305-3432.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Briggitte R. Hammond

November 18, 2003

P. AUSTIN BRADLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800